

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Lea
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1286451
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Lea
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1286451
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plan should not go ahead as there is insufficient infrastructure, access roads are insufficient. Traffic is already too heavy and long queues will cause an increase in pollution. It will be a nightmare for local commuters.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Better motorway links, better to use Greenbelt land to do this in order to reduce pollution. Everybody is against using Green belt but that is part of the problem. If we don't expand our infrastructure this will exacerbate the problem. Build the houses when the road links have been improved.